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In the title compound, $\left[\operatorname{AgBr}\left(\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right)\right]_{n}$, adjacent $\mathrm{Ag}(\mathrm{I})$ atoms are bridged by bidentate $\mathrm{CNCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NC}$ ligands via the NC groups, forming $\left[\mathrm{Ag}\left\{\mathrm{CNCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right.\right.$ $\mathrm{NC}\}]_{n}$ chains with the metal atom in a distorted tetrahedral coordination. The bromide counter-anions cross-link the $\mathrm{Ag}(\mathrm{I})$ atoms of the chains, forming a two-dimensional polymeric network $\left\{\left[\mathrm{Ag}^{\mathrm{I}}\left(\mathrm{CNCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NC}\right)\right] \mathrm{Br}\right\}_{n}$ extending parallel to (010). The polymeric structure is similar to that of the very recently reported $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}, \mathrm{I}^{-}$and $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$ analogues. This gives a strong indication that 2,2-dimethyl-propane-1,3-diyl diisocyanide is a potential ligand for giving polymeric structures on treatment with $\mathrm{Ag} X\left(X=\mathrm{Cl}^{-}, \mathrm{Br}^{-}, \mathrm{I}^{-}\right.$ or $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$) regardless of the counter-anion used.

## Related literature

For the preparation of the bidentate ligand $\mathrm{CNCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NC}$, see: Al-Ktaifani et al. (2008). For similar polymeric structures, see: Al-Ktaifani et al. (2008); Rukiah \& Al-Ktaifani (2008, 2009). For disocyano ligands and their coordination complexes, see: Harvey (2001); Sakata et al. (2003); Espinet et al. (2000); Moigno et al. (2002). For chelate complexing, see: Chemin et al. (1996). Pseudo-Voigt profile coefficients as parameterized in Thompson et al. (1987). Asymmetry correction of Finger et al. (1994). Microstrain broadening by Stephens (1999). Indexing was performed using the program DICVOL04 (Boultif \& Louër, 2004). The best estimated space group was determined with the help of the program Check Group interfaced by WinPLOTR (Roisnel \& Rodriguez-Carvajal, 2001). The powder diffraction pattern was subsequently refined using the LeBail method by the program FULLPROF (Rodriguez-Carvajal, 2001). The program GSAS (Larson \& Von Dreele, 2004) was interfaced by EXPGUI (Toby, 2001). The preferred orientation was modeled using a spherical-harmonics description (Von Dreele, 1997).


## Experimental

## Crystal data

$\left[\mathrm{AgBr}\left(\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right)\right]$
$V=1996.14(2) \AA^{3}$
$M_{r}=309.94$
Orthorhombic, Pbca
$\mathrm{Cu} K \alpha_{1}$ radiation, $\lambda=1.54060 \AA$
$a=16.24649$ (13) $\AA$
$\mu=20.43 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$b=16.59379$ (12) A
$T=298 \mathrm{~K}$
$c=7.40433$ (4) A
flat sheet, $7.0 \times 7.0 \mathrm{~mm}$

## Data collection

STOE STADI P Transmission
function for a flat sample in diffractometer
Specimen mounting: drifted powder between two Mylar foils
Data collection mode: transmission
Scan method: step
transmission geometry (GSAS;
Larson \& Von Dreele, 2004)
$T_{\text {min }}=0.139, T_{\text {max }}=0.192$
$2 \theta_{\min }=4.97^{\circ}, 2 \theta_{\max }=89.95^{\circ}, 2 \theta_{\text {step }}=$
$0.02^{\circ}$

Absorption correction: for a
cylinder mounted on the $\varphi$ axis

## Refinement

| $R_{\mathrm{p}}=0.020$ | 4250 data points |
| :--- | :--- |
| $R_{\mathrm{wp}}=0.027$ | 172 parameters |
| $R_{\exp }=0.021$ | 40 restraints |
| $R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.019$ | H-atom parameters constrained |
| $\chi^{2}=1.638$ |  |

Table 1
Selected geometric parameters ( $\AA{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$ ).

| Ag1-Br1 | 2.7680 (19) | Ag1-C1 | 2.140 (9) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Ag} 1-\mathrm{Br} 1^{1}$ | 2.832 (2) | Ag1-C7 ${ }^{\text {ii }}$ | 2.162 (10) |
| $\mathrm{Br} 1-\mathrm{Ag} 1-\mathrm{Br} 1^{\mathrm{i}}$ | 106.50 (7) | $\mathrm{Br} 1^{\text {i }}-\mathrm{Ag} 1-\mathrm{C} 7{ }^{\text {iii }}$ | 94.6 (4) |
| $\mathrm{Br} 1-\mathrm{Ag} 1-\mathrm{C} 1$ | 107.1 (4) | $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{Ag} 1-\mathrm{C} 7{ }^{\text {iii }}$ | 139.3 (6) |
| $\mathrm{Br} 1-\mathrm{Ag} 1-\mathrm{C} 7{ }^{\text {iii }}$ | 98.9 (4) | $\mathrm{Ag} 1-\mathrm{Br} 1-\mathrm{Ag}^{1}{ }^{\text {iv }}$ | 93.22 (6) |
| $\mathrm{Br} 1^{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{Ag} 1-\mathrm{C} 1$ | 106.9 (4) |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Symmetry cod } \\ & x+\frac{1}{2},-y+\frac{5}{2},-z \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} x,-y+\frac{3}{2} \\ ,-y+\frac{3}{2}, z \end{array}$ | (ii) $x-\frac{1}{2}$, | $+1 \text {; (iii) }$ |

Data collection: WinXPOW (Stoe \& Cie, 1999); cell refinement: GSAS (Larson \& Von Dreele, 2004); data reduction: WinXPOW; program(s) used to solve structure: FOX (Favre-Nicolin \& Černý, 2002); program(s) used to refine structure: GSAS (Larson \& Von Dreele, 2004); molecular graphics: ORTEP-3 (Farrugia, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: publCIF (Westrip, 2010).

The authors thank Professors I. Othman, Director General, and T. Yassine, Head of the Chemistry Department, for their support and encouragement.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: ER2080).
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## supplementary materials

# Poly $\left[\mu^{-}\right.$-bromido- $\mu_{\text {-(2,2-dimethylpropane-1,3-diyl }}$ diisocyanide)-silver(I)]: a powder diffraction study 

## M. Al-Ktaifani and M. Rukiah

## Comment

In order to better understand and further explore the chemistry of 2,2-dimethylpropane-1,3-diyl diisocyanide, the synthesis and solid state characterization of the polymeric complex $\left\{\left[\mathrm{Ag}^{\mathrm{I}}\left(\mathrm{CNCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NC}\right)\right] \mathrm{Br}\right\}_{\mathrm{n}}$ is presented. Treatment of AgBr with two equimolar amount of 2,2-dimethylpropane-1,3-diyl diisocyanide in dry EtOH at room temperature afforded a highly insoluble white powder (I) even in polar or coordinate solvents. These strongly gave an indication that the obtained compound (I) have a polymeric structure, which is very similar to the polymeric structure of $\left\{\left[\mathrm{Ag}^{\mathrm{I}}\left(\mathrm{CNCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NC}\right)\right] X\right\}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(X=\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right.$or $\left.\mathrm{I}^{-}\right)($Al-Ktaifani et al., 2008; Rukiah \& Al-Ktaifani, 2009).

The solid state structure of (I) was confirmed by X-ray powder diffraction study exhibiting, as expected, a polymeric structure, which is very similar to the analogous $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}, \mathrm{I}^{-}$and $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$polymers. In the obtained structure, the $\mathrm{Ag}^{\mathrm{I}}$ centers are bridged with each of the two adjacent Ag neighbours by the bidentate ligands $\mathrm{CNCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NC}$ via the NC groups to form $\left\{\mathrm{Ag}^{\mathrm{I}}\left(\mathrm{CNCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NC}\right)\right\}_{\mathrm{n}}$ chains. The $\mathrm{Br}^{-}$counterpart anions are cross linked the Ag centres of the chains to form a polymeric 2-D network $\left\{\left[\mathrm{Ag}^{\mathrm{I}}\left(\mathrm{CNCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NC}\right)\right] \mathrm{Br}\right\}_{\mathrm{n}}$ (Fig. 1). In the same manner to the polymeric structure of $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}, \mathrm{I}^{-}$and $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$analogues, the $\mathrm{CNCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NC}$ in the complex just behaves as bis-monodentate and the chelate behaviour is completely absent. This is undoubtedly expected for steric reason as the distance between the two isocyanide groups in the $\mathrm{CNCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NC}$ molecule are relatively too short to allow chelate complexing (Chemin et al., 1996) (Fig.2).

As the conformation of the bidentate ligand $\left(\mathrm{CNCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NC}\right)$ in the three polymeric structures are almost alike, it can be concluded that their molecular structures are very similar. Therefore it can be stated the counterpart anion $\left(\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right.$, $\mathrm{Br}^{-}$or $\mathrm{I}^{-}$) have no effective role in changing the polymeric structure of the complex. It is also noteworthy, that the bidentate ligand exhibits a very strong tendency to form polymeric complexes rather than dimeric or trimeric complexes suggesting the 2,2-dimethylpropane-1,3-diyl diisocyanide to be a potential bidentate ligand in the syntheses of organometallic polymers of different transition metals.

## Experimental

All reactions and manipulations were carried out under inert atmosphere by using two fold vacuum line and schlenk technique. Solvents were dried and distilled over sodium wire; glassware dried and flamed before used. AgBr was a commercial sample and was used as received. IR spectra were operated on FTIR Jasco 300 E. Microanalysis was performed using EURO $E A$. Powder X-ray diffraction was performed by Stoe Transmission diffractometre (Stadi P).

## supplementary materials

A solution of $\mathrm{CNCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NC}(0.30 \mathrm{~g}, 2.45 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{EtOH}(5 \mathrm{ml})$ was added to a suspension of $\mathrm{AgBr}(0.22 \mathrm{~g}$, $1.19 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{EtOH}(10 \mathrm{ml})$ at room temperature. The resulting solution was stirred for overnight, and then filtered and volatiles were removed in vacuo. The obtained product was washed with ether to afford a white powder ( $0.29 \mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{yield} 80 \%$, m.p. starts to decompose at 395 K ). Analytical data for $\mathrm{AgC}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{Br}$ : found C, $27.95 \%$; $\mathrm{H}, 3.35 \%$; $\mathrm{N}, 7.99 \%$; required: C, $27.12 \% ; \mathrm{H}, 3.25 \% ; \mathrm{N}, 9.03 \%$. IR (KBr) $v \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}: 2201.6(\mathrm{~N} \equiv \mathrm{C})$.

## Refinement

The powder sample was slightly ground in a mortar, loaded into two foils of Mylar and fixed in the sample holder with a mask of suitable internal diameter $(7.0 \mathrm{~mm})$. Data were collected at room temperature and pressure in transmission geometry employing $C u K_{\alpha 1}$ radiation. Indexing was performed using the program DICVOL04 (Boultif \& Louër, 2004) with default options. An orthorhombic unit cell of reasonable volume (assuming $Z=8$ ) gave indexing figures of merit $M_{20}=18.0$, $\mathrm{F}_{20}=36.9(0.0090,60)$. The best estimated space group in the orthorhombic system was Pcab which determined with the help of the program Check Group interfaced by WinPLOTR (Roisnel \& Rodriguez-Carvajal, 2001). The parameters $a$ and $b$ were interchanged for working with the standard setting of space group i.e $P b c a$. The powder diffraction pattern from 5 to $90^{\circ}(2 \mathrm{q})$ was subsequently refined with these cell and space group using LeBail method by the program FULLPROF (Rodriguez-Carvajal, 2001). One line with very low intensity was not indexed with the previous cell and corresponds to the reflection (111) of the AgBr. The program FOX (Favre-Nicolin \& Černý, 2002) was employed for structure solution. The powder pattern was truncated to $55^{\circ}$ in $2 \theta\left(C u K_{\alpha 1}\right)$, corresponding to real-space resolution of $1.67 \AA$. The Monte Carlo simulated annealing (parallel tempering algorithm) used to solve the crystal structure of compound (I) from powder pattern in direct space. One molecule of $\mathrm{CNCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NC}$ ligand and two free atoms of Ag and Br were introduced randomly in the orthorhombic cell calculated by Le Bail refinement. The H atoms can be ignored during the structure solution process because they do not contribute significantly to the powder diffraction pattern, due to their low X-ray scattering power. During the parallel tempering calculations, the ligand had the possibility to translate, to rotate around its centre of mass and to modify its torsion angles and the atoms Ag and Br had the possibility to modify its position in the unit cell. The model found by FOX was introduced in the program GSAS (Larson \& Von Dreele, 2004), interfaced by EXPGUI (Toby, 2001) for Rietveld refinements as a starting point. The background was refined using a shifted Chebyshev polynomial with 20 coefficients. The Thompson-Cox-Hastings (Thompson et al., 1987) pseudo-Voigt profile function was used with an axial divergence asymmetry correction of (Finger et al., 1994). The two asymmetry parameters of this function $S / L$ and $D / L$ were both fixed at 0.0215 during the Rietveld refinement.

Geometric soft restraints were applied to the $\mathrm{C}^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ distances to guide them towards their normal values, but no restrains were imposed on the $\mathrm{Ag}-\mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{Ag}-\mathrm{Br}$ distances. Likewise, no restraints were imposed on bond angles. The hydrogen atoms were introduced at theoretical positions with $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ distances constrained to be $0.97 \AA$ for $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ and $0.98 \AA$ for $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$. They were refined with restrains on their bonds distances and bond angles to their normal values. One isotropic atomic displacement parameter was introduced per types of atoms $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{N}$ and H . The final refinement cycles were performed using anisotropic displacement parameters for Ag and Br atoms. Intensities were corrected for absorption effects with a function for a flat plate sample in transmission geometry (function number 4 in $G S A S$ ). The value of with m.d was 0.8 . The plate normal can be either perpendicular to the diffraction vector or tilted by some fixed angle $\varphi$ in the diffraction plane. The preferred orientation was modeled using a spherical-harmonics description (Von Dreele, 1997) with 18 coefficients. In the course of the refinement, the structure of AgBr has been introduced in the final refinement. The unit-cell parameters, the atomic displacement parameters of Ag and Br and the profile parameters were allowed to vary of this compound. The
amount of this impurity was about $0.1 \%$. The observed and calculated diffraction patterns for the refined crystal structure are shown in Fig. 3.

## Figures



Fig. 1. A view, along the $c$ axis of the crystal structure of compound (I), H atoms are not shown for clarity.


Fig. 2. The asymmetric unit of (I) and atom-numbering are shown.

Fig. 3. Final observed (points), calculated (line) and difference profiles for the Rietveled refinement of (I).

## Poly[ $\mu$-bromido- $\mu$-(2,2-dimethylpropane-1,3-diyl diisocyanide)-silver(I)]

## Crystal data

## $\left[\operatorname{AgBr}\left(\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right)\right]$ <br> $M_{r}=309.94$

Orthorhombic, $P b c a$
Hall symbol: -P 2ac 2ab
$a=16.24649$ (13) $\AA$
$b=16.59379$ (12) $\AA$
$c=7.40433$ (4) $\AA$
$V=1996.14$ (2) $\AA^{3}$
$Z=8$

## Data collection

STOE STADI P Transmission diffractometer

Radiation source: sealed X-ray tube, C-Tech

Ge 111
Specimen mounting: drifted powder between two Mylar foils
$F(000)=1184.0$
$D_{\mathrm{x}}=2.063 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$
$\mathrm{Cu} K \alpha_{1}$ radiation, $\lambda=1.54060 \AA$
$\mu=20.43 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=298 \mathrm{~K}$
Particle morphology: fine powder visual estimate White
flat sheet, $7.0 \times 7.0 \mathrm{~mm}$
Specimen preparation: Prepared at 298 K and 101.3 kPa

Scan method: step
Absorption correction: for a cylinder mounted on the $\varphi$ axis
function for a flat plate sample in transmission geometry absorption correction 'GSAS (Larson \& Von Dreele, 2004)'
$T_{\text {min }}=0.139, T_{\text {max }}=0.192$
$2 \theta_{\text {min }}=4.97^{\circ}, 2 \theta_{\max }=89.95^{\circ}, 2 \theta_{\text {step }}=0.02^{\circ}$

## supplementary materials

Data collection mode: transmission

## Refinement

Least-squares matrix: full
$R_{\mathrm{p}}=0.020$
$R_{\mathrm{wp}}=0.027$
$R_{\exp }=0.021$
$R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.01907$
$\chi^{2}=1.638$

4250 data points

Excluded region(s): none
172 parameters
40 restraints
H -atom parameters constrained

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }=0.02 \\
& \text { Background function: GSAS Background function } \\
& \text { number 1 with } 20 \text { terms. Shifted Chebyshev func- } \\
& \text { tion of 1st kind 1: } 1519.262:-1446.56 \text { 3: } 737.807 \\
& \text { 4: -254.400 5: 19.2069 6: 34.2759 7: -57.6962 8: } \\
& \text { 44.0465 9: 4.23667 10: } 15.2262 \text { 11: -36.4418 12: } \\
& \text { 19.8416 13: 11.6080 14: -21.7772 15: 7.42188 16: } \\
& \text { 2.13196 17: -8.09916 18: } 10.4475 \text { 19:-3.62142 20: } \\
& \text { 2.86699 } \\
& \text { Preferred orientation correction: Spherical harmonics } \\
& \text { function }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Special details

Experimental. The sample was ground lightly in a mortar, loaded between two Myler foils and fixed in the sample holder with a mask of 7.0 mm intrnal diameter.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $\left(A^{2}\right)$

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U_{\text {iso }}{ }^{*} / U_{\text {eq }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ag1 | $0.38967(9)$ | $0.80095(7)$ | $0.4117(2)$ | 0.05958 |
| Br1 | $0.30684(11)$ | $0.82642(9)$ | $0.0900(3)$ | 0.06015 |
| C1 | $0.5098(7)$ | $0.7575(9)$ | $0.344(2)$ | $0.057(2)^{*}$ |
| C2 | $0.6370(3)$ | $0.6752(3)$ | $0.2513(6)$ | $0.057(2)^{*}$ |
| C3 | $0.6320(4)$ | $0.5873(3)$ | $0.3162(7)$ | $0.057(2)^{*}$ |
| C4 | $0.5598(2)$ | $0.5488(2)$ | $0.2127(5)$ | $0.057(2)^{*}$ |
| C5 | $0.6150(2)$ | $0.5772(2)$ | $0.5193(5)$ | $0.057(2)^{*}$ |
| C6 | $0.7112(3)$ | $0.5453(3)$ | $0.2551(6)$ | $0.057(2)^{*}$ |
| C7 | $0.8331(7)$ | $0.6053(8)$ | $0.4282(19)$ | $0.057(2)^{*}$ |
| N1 | $0.5660(5)$ | $0.7181(6)$ | $0.3133(11)$ | $0.044(3)^{*}$ |
| N2 | $0.7802(6)$ | $0.5754(5)$ | $0.3512(12)$ | $0.044(3)^{*}$ |
| H2a | 0.68677 | 0.70043 | 0.30004 | $0.1^{*}$ |
| H2b | 0.63872 | 0.67661 | 0.11895 | $0.1^{*}$ |
| H6a | 0.70639 | 0.48721 | 0.27692 | $0.1^{*}$ |
| H6b | 0.71937 | 0.55477 | 0.12573 | $0.1^{*}$ |
| H4a | 0.57835 | 0.53189 | 0.09379 | $0.1^{*}$ |
| H4b | 0.53985 | 0.50232 | 0.2793 | $0.1^{*}$ |
| H4c | 0.51576 | 0.5878 | 0.19985 | $0.1^{*}$ |
| H5a | 0.6622 | 0.596 | 0.58768 | $0.1^{*}$ |
| H5b | 0.56685 | 0.60861 | 0.5522 | $0.1^{*}$ |
| H5c | 0.60499 | 0.52086 | 0.54582 | $0.1^{*}$ |

## sup-4

Atomic displacement parameters $\left(A^{2}\right)$

|  | $U^{11}$ | $U^{22}$ | $U^{33}$ | $U^{12}$ | $U^{13}$ | $U^{23}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ag1 | $0.0490(14)$ | $0.0637(13)$ | $0.0660(12)$ | $0.0106(10)$ | $0.0053(16)$ | $-0.0066(11)$ |
| Br1 | $0.079(2)$ | $0.0452(15)$ | $0.0560(16)$ | $0.0074(12)$ | $-0.011(2)$ | $0.0034(14)$ |

Geometric parameters ( $\AA$, ${ }^{\circ}$ )

| Ag1-Br1 | 2.7680 (19) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Ag} 1-\mathrm{Br} 1^{\text {i }}$ | 2.8322 (22) |
| Ag1-C1 | 2.140 (9) |
| $\mathrm{Ag} 1-\mathrm{C} 7{ }^{\text {ii }}$ | 2.162 (10) |
| Br1—Ag1 | 2.7680 (19) |
| $\mathrm{Br} 1-\mathrm{Ag} 1{ }^{\text {iii }}$ | 2.8322 (22) |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{N} 1$ | 1.146 (16) |
| C2-C3 | 1.538 (5) |
| C2-N1 | 1.431 (5) |
| C2-H2a | 0.98 |
| C2-H2b | 0.98 |
| C3-C4 | 1.540 (5) |
| Br1—Ag1- $\mathrm{Br}^{1}{ }^{\text {i }}$ | 106.50 (7) |
| Brl-Ag1-C1 | 107.1 (4) |
| $\mathrm{Br} 1-\mathrm{Ag} 1-\mathrm{C} 7^{\text {v }}$ | 98.9 (4) |
| $\mathrm{Br} 1^{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{Ag} 1-\mathrm{C} 1$ | 106.9 (4) |
| $\mathrm{Br} 1^{\text {i }}-\mathrm{Ag} 1-\mathrm{C} 7^{\text {v }}$ | 94.55 (35) |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{Ag} 1-\mathrm{C} 7^{\text {v }}$ | 139.3 (6) |
| Ag1-Brl—Ag1 ${ }^{\text {iii }}$ | 93.22 (6) |
| Ag1-C1-N1 | 164.9 (14) |
| C3-C2-N1 | 109.2 (6) |
| C3-C2-H2a | 109.5 |
| C3-C2-H2b | 109.7 |
| N1-C2-H2a | 109.5 |
| N1-C2-H2b | 109.4 |
| H2a-C2-H2b | 109.5 |
| C2-C3-C4 | 106.1 (4) |
| C2-C3-C5 | 114.7 (4) |
| C2-C3-C6 | 107.1 (4) |
| C4-C3-C5 | 107.76 (35) |
| C4-C3-C6 | 107.7 (4) |
| C5-C3-C6 | 113.0 (4) |
| C3-C4-H4a | 109.6 |
| C1—Ag1-Br1—Ag1 ${ }^{\text {iii }}$ | 31.9 (5) |
| $\mathrm{C} 7{ }^{\text {iii }}$ - $\mathrm{Ag} 1-\mathrm{Br} 1-\mathrm{Ag} 1{ }^{\text {iii }}$ | -179.7 (4) |
| $\mathrm{Br} 1^{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{Ag} 1-\mathrm{Br} 1-\mathrm{Ag} 1^{\text {iii }}$ | -82.21 (8) |


| C3-C5 | 1.538 (5) |
| :---: | :---: |
| C3-C6 | 1.533 (5) |
| $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{a}$ | 0.97 |
| C4-H4b | 0.97 |
| $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{c}$ | 0.97 |
| C5-H5a | 0.97 |
| C5-H5b | 0.97 |
| C5-H5c | 0.97 |
| C6-H6a | 0.98 |
| C6-H6b | 0.98 |
| C7-Ag1 ${ }^{\text {iv }}$ | 2.162 (10) |
| C7-N2 | 1.144 (16) |
| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{~b}$ | 109.3 |
| C3-C4-H4c | 109.5 |
| H4a-C4-H4b | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{c}$ | 109.4 |
| H4b-C4-H4c | 109.4 |
| C3-C5-H5a | 109.4 |
| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{H} 5 \mathrm{~b}$ | 109.4 |
| C3-C5-H5c | 109.4 |
| H5a-C5-H5b | 109.5 |
| H5a-C5-H5c | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{H} 5 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{H} 5 \mathrm{c}$ | 109.5 |
| C3-C6-N2 | 110.8 (6) |
| C3-C6-H6a | 109.3 |
| C3-C6-H6b | 109.2 |
| N2-C6-H6a | 109.1 |
| N2-C6-H6b | 109.1 |
| H6a-C6-H6b | 109.3 |
| Ag1 ${ }^{\text {vi}}-\mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{N} 2$ | 154.8 (13) |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ | 171.9 (13) |
| C6-N2-C7 | 174.9 (13) |
| N1-C2-C3-C4 | 68.0 (13) |
| N1-C2-C3-C5 | -50.8 (14) |
| N1-C2-C3-C6 | -177.1 (10) |

## supplementary materials

| $\mathrm{Br} 1-\mathrm{Ag} 1-\mathrm{C} 7^{\mathrm{ii}}-\mathrm{N} 2^{\mathrm{ii}}$ | 70 (3) | C2-C3-C6-N2 | 68.7 (13) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{Ag} 1-\mathrm{C} 7^{\mathrm{ii}}-\mathrm{N} 2^{\mathrm{ii}}$ | -160 (3) | $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{N} 2$ | -177.4 (10) |
| $\mathrm{Br} 1-\mathrm{Ag} 1-\mathrm{Br} 1^{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{Ag} 1^{\mathrm{i}}$ | -168.31 (7) | C5-C3-C6-N2 | -58.6 (14) |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{Ag} 1-\mathrm{Br} 1^{1}-\mathrm{Ag} 1^{\mathrm{i}}$ | 77.5 (4) |  |  |

Hydrogen-bond geometry ( $A,{ }^{\circ}$ )

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~A} \cdots \mathrm{~N} 2$ | 0.98 | 2.60 | $2.950(17)$ | 101.0 |
| $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{C} \cdots \mathrm{N} 1$ | 0.97 | 2.45 | $2.908(18)$ | 108.0 |
| $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{H} 5 \mathrm{~A} \cdots \mathrm{~N} 2$ | 0.97 | 2.62 | $2.959(18)$ | 101.0 |
| C5—H5B $\cdots \mathrm{N} 1$ | 0.97 | 2.53 | $2.903(16)$ | 103.0 |
| C6—H6A $\cdots \mathrm{Br} 1^{\text {vii }}$ | 0.98 | 2.85 | $3.820(13)$ | 169.0 |
| C6—H6B $\cdots$ Br1 $^{\text {viii }}$ | 0.98 | 2.91 | $3.671(13)$ | 136.0 |

Symmetry codes: (vii) $x+1 / 2,-y+3 / 2,-z$; (viii) $-x+1, y-1 / 2,-z+1 / 2$.
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